03/03/2006 12:10 7709510933

THOMAS, KAYDEN

PAGE 12

Application Number: 10/001,411

Docket Number: 10005650-1

## REMARKS

Upon entry of this Response, claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-24, and 26-27 remain pending in the present patent application. Claims 1, 8, 9, 15, 18, and 23 have been amended herein, and claims 7, 20, and 25 have been canceled herein. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending claims in view of the following remarks.

In item 1 of the Office Action, claims 1-7 and 9-22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0054090 filed by Silva et al. (hereafter "Silva"). Anticipation under §102 "requires the disclosure in a single prior art reference of each element of the claim under construction. W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 220 U.S.P.Q. 303, 313 (Fed. Cir. 1983). As an initial matter, Applicant notes that claims 7 and 20 have been canceled herein thereby rendering this rejection moot with respect to these claims. With respect to claims 1-6, 9-19, and 21-22, Applicant asserts that Silva fails to show or suggest each of the elements of these claims in view of the above amendments and for the reasons described below. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of these claims be withdrawn.

To begin, claim 1 has been amended herein to recite as follows:

1. An automated data access method, comprising: identifying a content item to be accessed and included in a publication to be printed;

identifying an event sequence associated with the content item that is employed to access the content item, wherein the event sequence includes at least one verification event, the at least one verification event comprising at least one task performed to verify successful access to a network page;

manipulating a user interface component during the identifying of the event sequence to indicate that the content item successfully accessed is to be included in the publication that is to be printed;

reproducing the events of the event sequence to obtain access to the content item, wherein the events of the event sequence comprise the entry of authentication information and the at least one verification event is performed after the entry of the authentication information; and

formatting the publication to include the content item for printing.

Application Number: 10/001,411

Docket Number: 10005650-1

As set forth above, claim 1 specifies that the content item is to be accessed and included in a publication to be printed. In this respect, the method of claim 1 has been amended to include the step of manipulating a user interface component during the identifying of the event sequence to indicate that the content item successfully accessed is to be included in the publication that is to be printed.

Applicant asserts that *Silva* fails to show or suggest such features. In particular, *Silva* discusses accessing web pages and specifying portions of web pages that can be displayed on mini-browsers and the like. In this respect, a user can configure web content to display on various types of thing clients.

Applicant asserts that Silva fails to show or suggest the concept of manipulating a user interface component to indicate that a content item of a successfully accessed network page is to be included in the publication that is to be printed. Also, claim 1 further specifies that the publication is to be formatted to include the content item for printing.

Accordingly, given that *Silva* fails to show or suggest each of the elements of claim 1 as amended herein, Applicant requests that the rejection of claim 1 be withdrawn. In addition, Applicant requests that the rejection of claims 9, 15, and 18 be withdrawn to the extent that they incorporate subject matter similar in scope with that of claim 1 above. In addition, Applicant requests that the rejection of claims 2-6, 10-14, 16-17, 19, and 21-22 be withdrawn as depending from claims 1, 9, 15, or 18.

Next, in item 2 of the Office Action, claim 8 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Silva* as applied to claims 1 and 7, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,754,308 issued to Lopresti et al. (hereafter "Lopresti"). A prima facie case of obviousness is established only when the prior art teaches or suggests all of the elements of the claims. MPEP §2143.03, In re Rijckaert, 9 F.3d 1531, 28 U.S.P.Q.2d 1955, 1956 (Fed. Cir. 1993). As an initial matter, Applicant notes that claim 8 has been amended so as to depend from claim 1 in view of the cancellation of claim 7. In this respect, Applicant asserts that the cited combination of references fails to show or suggest each of the elements of claim 8 as amended as depending from claim 1 as amended herein. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection of claim 8 be withdrawn.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

03/03/2006 12:10 7709510933

THOMAS, KAYDEN

PAGE 14

Application Number: 10/001,411

Docket Number: 10005650-1

In addition, claims 23-27 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Silva* and its incorporated reference "Automating Web Navigation with the Web VCR". A prima facie case of obviousness is established only when the prior art teaches or suggests all of the elements of the claims. MPEP §2143.03, In re Rijokaer, 9 F.3d 1531, 28 U.S.P.Q.2d 1955, 1956 (Fed. Cir. 1993). As an initial matter, it is noted that claim 25 is canceled herein, thereby rendering this rejection moot with respect to this claim. In addition, claim 23 has been amended herein to incorporate subject matter similar in scope with that of claim 1 to the extent applicable. Thus, Applicant asserts that the cited combination of references fails to show or suggest each of the elements of claim 23 for the same reasons described above with respect to claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection of claim 23 be withdrawn. In addition, Applicant requests that the rejection of claims 24 and 26-27 be withdrawn as depending from claim 23.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

03/03/2006 12:10 7709510933

THOMAS, KAYDEN

PAGE 15

Application Number: 10/001,411

Docket Number: 10005650-1

## CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests that all outstanding objections and rejections be withdrawn and that this application and all presently pending claims be allowed to issue. If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this response, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned counsel of Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. D'Aurelio Reg. No. 40,977

Thomas Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P.

100 Galleria Parkway, N.W.

Suit 1750

Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948

Phone:

(770) 933-9500

Fax

(770) 951-0933